

# MAT337 Introduction to Real Analysis - Fall 2025

## Week 6 Tutorial

---

This tutorial focuses on closed and open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and the compactness property. Feel free to ask me any questions about this document in person.

**Definition.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (1) A point  $\vec{x}$  is a **limit point** of  $A$  if there exists a sequence  $(\vec{a}_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ , with  $\vec{a}_k \in A$  for all  $k$ , such that  $\vec{x} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vec{a}_k$ .
- (2)  $A$  is **closed** if it contains all of its limit points.

### Problem 4.3.A.

Find the closure of the following sets:

- (a)  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- (b)  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : xy < 1\}$ .
- (c)  $\{(x, \sin(\frac{1}{x})) : x > 0\}$ .
- (d)  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ .

#### Solution

- (a) The closure is  $\mathbb{R}$ , as every real number is a limit of a sequence of rational numbers (see Problem 2.5.G).

- (b) The closure is  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : xy \leq 1\}$ .

Suppose that  $(x_k, y_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in the set, and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_k, y_k) = (x, y)$ . We need to show that  $xy \leq 1$ . It suffices to show that for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  (i.e.  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ),  $xy < 1 + \varepsilon$ . Let  $k$  be large enough so that  $\|(x_k, y_k) - (x, y)\| <$

$\frac{\varepsilon}{|x|+|y|+1}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
xy &= (xy - x_k y_k) + x_k y_k \\
&< |xy - x_k y_k| + 1 \\
&\leq |xy - x_k y| + |x_k y - x_k y_k| + 1 \\
&= |y||x - x_k| + |x_k||y - y_k| + 1 \\
&\leq |y||x - x_k| + (|x_k - x| + |x|)|y - y_k| + 1 \\
&< |y| \frac{\varepsilon}{|x| + |y| + 1} + (\varepsilon + |x|) \frac{\varepsilon}{|x| + |y| + 1} + 1 \\
&= \frac{|x| + |y| + \varepsilon}{|x| + |y| + 1} \varepsilon + 1 \\
&< \varepsilon + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is such that  $xy \leq 1$ . We need to find some sequence  $(x_k, y_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $x_k y_k < 1$  for all  $k$ , and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_k, y_k) = (x, y)$ . If  $xy < 1$ , then we may let  $(x_k, y_k) = (x, y)$  for all  $k$ . Otherwise,  $xy = 1$ , so in particular we have that  $x \neq 0$ . We consider two cases.

- (i) If  $x > 0$  (so  $y > 0$  as well), then for each  $k$  we let  $x_k = x - \frac{1}{k}$  and  $y_k = y$ . It's not hard to see that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_k, y_k) = (x, y)$ , and for each  $k$ ,  $x_k y_k < xy = 1$ .
- (ii) **Exercise.** Find the sequence in the case where  $x < 0$  (so  $y < 0$  as well).
- (c) The closure is  $\{(x, \sin(\frac{1}{x})) : x > 0\} \cup \{(0, y) : -1 \leq y \leq 1\}$ .

Suppose that  $(x_k, y_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  is a sequence in the set, and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_k, y_k) = (x, y)$ . We need to show that either  $x > 0$  and  $y = \sin(\frac{1}{x})$ , or  $x = 0$  and  $-1 \leq y \leq 1$ .

- (i) Suppose that  $x > 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x$ , we have that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x_k} = \frac{1}{x}$ , so there exists some  $k$  such that  $|\frac{1}{x_k} - \frac{1}{x}| < \varepsilon$ . We shall use the trigonometric identity:

$$\sin(A) - \sin(B) = 2 \sin\left(\frac{A - B}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{A + B}{2}\right),$$

and the inequality  $\sin(x) \leq x$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{1}{x_k}\right) \right| &= \left| 2 \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x_k}\right)\right) \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x_k}\right)\right) \right| \\
 &= 2 \cdot \left| \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x_k}\right)\right) \right| \cdot \left| \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x_k}\right)\right) \right| \\
 &\leq 2 \cdot \left| \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x_k}\right) \right| \cdot 1 \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{x_k} - \frac{1}{x} \right| \\
 &< \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $x = 0$ , then since  $|y_k| = |\sin(\frac{1}{x_k})| \leq 1$  for all  $k$ , by the squeeze theorem we have that  $|y| \leq 1$  as well.

Now suppose that  $(0, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $-1 \leq y \leq 1$ . We need to find some sequence  $(x_k, y_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $y_k = \sin(\frac{1}{x_k})$ , and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_k, y_k) = (0, y)$ . Since  $-1 \leq y \leq 1$ , there exists some  $0 < d \leq 2\pi$  such that  $y = \sin(d)$ . Let  $x_k = \frac{1}{2k\pi + d}$ . Then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 0$  as  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (2k\pi + d) = +\infty$ , and for all  $k$ :

$$y_k = \sin\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2k\pi + d}}\right) = \sin(2k\pi + d) = \sin(d) = y,$$


so  $(y_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  is the constant sequence where every term is  $y$ .

(d) The closure is  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ .

**Exercise.** Solve Problem 4.3.A(d).


### Remarks/Takeaways.

- (1) It's crucial for one to develop the skill to quickly see what the closure of a set is. Finding the closure of a set amounts to finding the "boundary" of a set.
- (b) For Q4.3.A(b), the set looks like this:



The dotted line (i.e. the set  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : xy = 1\}$ ) is not part of the set, but it's a “boundary” of it. Therefore, the dotted line is included in the closure.

(c) For Q4.3.A(c), the set looks like this:



Again, dotted line (i.e. the set  $\{(0, y) : -1 \leq y \leq 1\}$ ) is not part of the set, but the points in the set “gets closer and closer” to the dotted line, so it's included in the closure.

- (2) If a set has the strict inequality  $<$ , the closure likely has the equality replaced with  $\leq$  (see (b), (c) and (d)).

## Problem 4.3.B.

Let  $(\vec{a}_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vec{a}_k = \vec{a}$ . Show that  $\{\vec{a}_k : k \geq 1\} \cup \{\vec{a}\}$  is closed.

### Solution

Let  $A := \{\vec{a}_k : k \geq 1\} \cup \{\vec{a}\}$ , and let  $\vec{x}$  be a limit point of  $A$ . We need to show that  $\vec{x} \in A$ . Let  $(\vec{b}_l)_{l=1}^\infty$  be a sequence, with  $\vec{b}_l \in A$  for all  $l$ , such that  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \vec{b}_l = \vec{x}$ . We consider two cases.

- (1a) Suppose that there are infinitely many  $l$  such that  $\vec{b}_l = \vec{a}$ . Let  $(\vec{b}_{l_m})_{m=1}^\infty$  be a subsequence (i.e.  $l_1 < l_2 < \dots$ ) such that  $\vec{b}_{l_m} = \vec{a}$  for all  $m$ . Then  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \vec{b}_{l_m} = \vec{a}$ . But since  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \vec{b}_l = \vec{x}$ , every subsequence of  $(\vec{b}_l)_{l=1}^\infty$  also converges to  $\vec{x}$ , so  $\vec{x} = \vec{a} \in A$ .
- (1b) Suppose that there is some  $k$  such that there are infinitely many  $l$  in which  $\vec{b}_l = \vec{a}_k$ . By a similar argument to Case (1a), we have that  $\vec{x} = \vec{a}_k \in A$ .
- (2) Suppose that neither (1a) nor (1b) are true. We define a subsequence  $(\vec{b}_{l_m})_{m=1}^\infty$  inductively as follows: Let  $\vec{b}_{l_0}$  be any term such that  $\vec{b}_{l_0} = \vec{a}_{k_0}$  for some  $k_0$ . Suppose that  $\vec{b}_{l_m}$  has been defined and  $\vec{b}_{l_m} = \vec{a}_{k_m}$  for some  $k_m$ .

**Exercise.** Show that there exists some  $l_{m+1} > l_m$  such that  $\vec{b}_{l_{m+1}} = \vec{a}_{k_{m+1}}$  for some  $k_{m+1} > k_m$ .

Then  $(\vec{b}_{l_m})_{m=1}^\infty = (\vec{a}_{k_m})_{m=1}^\infty$  is a subsequence of  $(\vec{a}_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ , and since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vec{a}_k = \vec{a}$ , we have that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \vec{a}_{k_m} = \vec{a}$  as well. Therefore,  $\vec{x} = \vec{a} \in A$ .

### Remarks/Takeaways.

- (1) If the question asks you to show that a set is closed, proving it by definition is a common approach.
- (2) Do not conclude hastily that  $(\vec{b}_l)_{l=1}^\infty$  is a subsequence of  $(\vec{a}_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ , as it may not be.


## Problem 4.4.I.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be *disjoint* closed subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define:

$$d(A, B) := \inf\{\|\vec{a} - \vec{b}\| : \vec{a} \in A, \vec{b} \in B\}.$$

**Remark.**

- (1)  $d(A, B)$  may be viewed as the shortest distance needed to travel between two closed sets (but not always! See 4.4.I(c)). Here's a picture:



**4.4.I(a).**

If  $\vec{a}$  is a singleton, show that  $d(A, B) > 0$ .


**Solution**

We shall show that if  $d(\{\vec{a}\}, B) = 0$ , then  $\vec{a} \in B$ , contradicting that  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint. Since  $B$  is closed, it suffices to show that  $\vec{a}$  is a limit point of  $B$ . That is, there exists a sequence  $(\vec{a}_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $B$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vec{a}_k = \vec{a}$ .

Since  $d(\{\vec{a}\}, B) = 0$ , for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some  $\vec{b} \in B$  such that  $\|\vec{a} - \vec{b}\| < \varepsilon$ . In particular, for any  $k$  there exists some  $\vec{a}_k \in B$  such that  $\|\vec{a}_k - \vec{a}\| < \frac{1}{k}$ . Then  $0 \leq \|\vec{a}_k - \vec{a}\| < \frac{1}{k}$  for all  $k$ , so by the squeeze theorem, we have that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\vec{a}_k - \vec{a}\| = 0$ , i.e.  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \vec{a}_k = \vec{a}$ .

**Remarks/Takeaways.**

- (1) This is not the first time you see us taking a sequence (or subsequence) in which each  $\|\vec{a}_k - \vec{a}\|$  is bounded by some other sequence which converges to zero. This is a useful technique which will appear from time to time.
- (2) The statement is false if we do not assume that  $B$  is closed - that is, it is possible that  $d(\vec{a}, B) = 0$  and  $\vec{a} \notin B$  if  $B$  is not closed. Here is an example:



Equations:

(a)  $A = \{(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})\}.$

(b)  $B = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}.$

#### 4.4.1(b).

If  $A$  is compact, show that  $d(A, B) > 0$ .

**Definition.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .  $A$  is **compact** if for every sequence  $(\vec{a}_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of points in  $A$ , there exists a convergent subsequence  $(\vec{a}_{k_i})_{i=1}^{\infty}$  with  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \vec{a}_{k_i} = \vec{a} \in A$ .

#### Solution

**Exercise.** Show that  $d(A, B) = \inf\{d(\{\vec{a}\}, B) : \vec{a} \in A\}$ . If you need a hint, see the Remarks/Takeaways after the solution.

We shall show that if  $d(A, B) = 0$ , then  $A$  is not compact. Since  $\inf\{d(\{\vec{a}\}, B) : \vec{a} \in A\} = 0$ , for any  $k$  there exists some  $\vec{a}_k \in B$  such that  $d(\{\vec{a}_k\}, B) < \frac{1}{k}$ . Then  $(\vec{a}_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ , and since  $A$  is compact, there exists a convergent subsequence  $(\vec{a}_{k_i})_{i=1}^{\infty}$  with  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \vec{a}_{k_i} = \vec{a} \in A$ . We shall show that  $d(\vec{a}, B) = 0$ , contradicting Problem 4.4.1(a).

It suffices to show that  $d(\vec{a}, B) < \varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Fix any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \vec{a}_{k_i} = \vec{a}$ , there exists some  $k_i$  large enough such that  $\frac{1}{k_i} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , and  $\|\vec{a} - \vec{a}_{k_i}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Then for

all  $\vec{b} \in B$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\|\vec{a} - \vec{b}\| &\leq \|\vec{a} - \vec{a}_{k_i}\| + \|\vec{a}_{k_i} - \vec{b}\| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \|\vec{a}_{k_i} - \vec{b}\|.\end{aligned}$$

Taking infimum across  $\vec{b} \in B$ , we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}d(\{\vec{a}\}, B) &= \inf\{\|\vec{a} - \vec{b}\| : \vec{b} \in B\} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \inf\{\|\vec{a}_{k_i} - \vec{b}\| : \vec{b} \in B\} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $d(\vec{a}, B) < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have that  $d(\vec{a}, B) = 0$ .

### Remarks/Takeaways.

- (1) The exercise at the beginning of the solution is an excellent practice in proving equalities by showing one is bounded by the other, and vice versa.
  - (a) Show that for all  $\vec{a} \in A$ ,  $d(A, B) \leq d(\{\vec{a}\}, B)$ . By taking infimum across  $\vec{a} \in A$ , you may conclude that  $d(A, B) \leq \inf\{d(\{\vec{a}\}, B) : \vec{a} \in A\}$ .
  - (b) Show that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $\vec{a} \in A$  such that  $d(\{\vec{a}\}, B) \leq d(A, B) + \varepsilon$ . Explain why this implies that  $\inf\{d(\{\vec{a}\}, B) : \vec{a} \in A\} \leq d(A, B)$ .

### 4.4.1(c).

Find an example of two disjoint closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $d(A, B) = 0$ .


#### Solution

Consider the following two sets:

- (1)  $A = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x > 0 \text{ and } y = \frac{1}{x}\}$ .
- (2)  $B = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x > 0 \text{ and } y = -\frac{1}{x}\}$ .

In other words,  $A$  and  $B$  are the graphs of the functions  $y = \frac{1}{x}$  and  $y = -\frac{1}{x}$  respectively. Here's a picture:





We need to show that  $A$  and  $B$  are closed, and  $d(A, B) = 0$ .

We first show that  $A$  is closed. Let  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be a limit point of  $A$ , i.e. there exists some sequence  $((x_k, y_k))_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in  $A$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_k, y_k) = (a, b)$ . This implies that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = a$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = b$ . Since  $y_k = \frac{1}{x_k}$ , we have that  $b = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x_k} = \frac{1}{a}$ , so  $(a, b) = (a, \frac{1}{a}) \in A$ .

**Exercise.** Using the idea in the above paragraph, convince yourself that  $B$  is also closed.

We now show that  $d(A, B) = 0$ . It suffices to show that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $d(A, B) < \varepsilon$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $x > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$  be any number large enough. Then  $(x, \frac{1}{x}) \in A$  and  $(x, -\frac{1}{x}) \in B$ , and:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left( x, \frac{1}{x} \right) - \left( x, -\frac{1}{x} \right) \right\| &= \left\| \left( 0, \frac{2}{x} \right) \right\| \\ &= \frac{2}{x} \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $d(A, B) \leq \left\| \left( x, \frac{1}{x} \right) - \left( x, -\frac{1}{x} \right) \right\| < \varepsilon$ .

### Remarks/Takeaways.

- (1) Recall by the Heine-Borel theorem that a set is compact iff it is closed and bounded.  $A$  and  $B$  are examples of sets which are closed but unbounded.
- (2) If you try to repeat the proof of Problem 4.4.I(b) with  $A$  and  $B$ , the sequence  $(\vec{a}_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  does not have a convergent subsequence as the  $x$ -coordinate of  $\vec{a}_k$  tends to

infinity. If the set is compact, the boundedness property prevents the coordinates from “escaping” to infinity, thus guaranteeing us a convergent subsequence.