

 $\overset{1}{\Sigma_2^1-Sets}_{\text{OOOOOOOO}}$ 

Shoenfield Absoluteness

Applications of Shoenfield Absoluteness

# NUS Reading Seminar Summer 2023 Session 3

Clement Yung

26 May 2023



Recall that an *r*-sequential tree is a subset:

$$\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathsf{Seq}_r = \{(s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in (\omega^{\omega})^r : |s_1| = \cdots = |s_r|\}$$

that is closed under initial segments - i.e. if  $(s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in T$ , then for all  $n \leq |s_i|$ ,  $(s_1 \upharpoonright n, \ldots, s_r \upharpoonright n) \in T$ .

Shoenfield Absoluteness

We now consider a slight generalisation of such trees. We define  $Seq(K) := K^{<\omega}$ .

### Definition

Trees (Again)

Let K be a set and  $r \ge 1$ . A tree on  $\omega^r \times K$  is a subset  $T \subseteq \text{Seq}_r \times \text{Seq}(K)$  that is closed under initial segments.

For instance, an *r*-dimensional sequential tree is a tree on  $\omega^r$ .

Trees (Again) 00●00  $\Sigma_2^1$ -Sets

Shoenfield Absoluteness

Applications of Shoenfield Absoluteness

Given a tree T on  $\omega^r \times K$ , for  $x \in \omega^r$  we can then once again define the "projection" as:

$$T(x) := \{h \in Seq(K) : (x \upharpoonright |h|, h) \in T\}$$

A recap of  $\Pi_1^1$  normal form:

Theorem (Normal Form for  $\Pi_1^1$  Sets)

Let  $A \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$ . Then A is  $\Sigma_1^1(a)$  iff there exists a tree  $T \subseteq \text{Seq}_2$  recursive in a such that:

 $x \in A \iff T(x)$  is ill-founded



 $\overset{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^1-Sets}{\overset{0}{\scriptstyle 000000000}}$ 

Shoenfield Absoluteness

Applications of Shoenfield Absoluteness

In other words, we have that:

$$A = \{x \in \omega^{\omega} : T(x) \text{ is ill-founded}\}$$

### Notation

Let T be a tree on  $\omega \times K$ . Then:

$$p[T] := \{x \in \omega^{\omega} : T(x) \text{ is ill-founded}\}$$



Shoenfield Absoluteness

Applications of Shoenfield Absoluteness

#### Definition

Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. A set  $A \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  is  $\kappa$ -Suslin if A = p[T] for some tree T on  $\omega \times \kappa$ .

Therefore, we may reword  $\Pi_1^1$  normal form theorem to say that:

 $A \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  is  $\Sigma_1^1(a)$  iff A = p[T] for some tree T on  $\omega \times \omega$  recursive in a.



The main theorem of this section is as follows.

Theorem

If  $A \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  is  $\Sigma_2^1(a)$ , then A = p[T] for some tree T on  $\omega \times \omega_1$  such that  $T \in L[a]$ .

Loosely speaking,  $T \in L[a]$  means that T can be defined from a and some really simple objects.

Similar to the proof of  $\Pi_1^1$  normal forms, we shall try to find an appropriate relation recursive in *a*, then "close it under initial segments".

Shoenfield Absoluteness

In other words, we wish to find some tree T on  $\omega \times \omega_1$ , with  $T \in L[a]$ , such that:

$$x \in A \iff \exists h \in \omega_1^\omega \, \forall n \, (x \restriction n, h \restriction n) \in T$$

The proof of the theorem would follow the steps below:

(1) "Simplify" what it means for A to be Σ<sub>2</sub><sup>1</sup>(a).
 (2) Find a tree T' on ω<sup>2</sup> × ω<sub>1</sub>, with T ∈ L[a], such that:

$$x \in A \iff \exists y \in \omega^{\omega} \exists h \in \omega_1^{\omega} \forall n (x \restriction n, y \restriction n, h \restriction n) \in T'$$

(3) Transform T' into a tree T on  $\omega \times \omega_1$  with the desired property.

Shoenfield Absoluteness

Applications of Shoenfield Absoluteness

# Proof, Step 1.

Let  $A \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$  be  $\Sigma_2^1(a)$ . In other words, there exists a  $\Pi_1^1(a)$ -set  $B \subseteq (\omega^{\omega})^2$  such that:

$$x \in A \iff \exists y \in \omega^{\omega}(x, y) \in B$$

By the  $\Pi_1^1$  normal form, there exists some tree  $U \subseteq \text{Seq}_3$  recursive in *a* such that:

 $\begin{aligned} & x \in A \\ \iff \exists y \in \omega^{\omega} \ U(x, y) \text{ is well-founded} \\ \iff \exists y \in \omega^{\omega} \exists a \text{ rank function } f : U(x, y) \to \omega_1 \\ \iff \exists y \in \omega^{\omega} \exists f : \text{Seq} \to \omega_1 \text{ s.t. } f \upharpoonright U(x, y) \text{ is order-preserving} \end{aligned}$ 

Note that by the countability of U(x, y), we assumed that  $ran(f) \subseteq \omega_1$ .



Σ<sub>2</sub><sup>1</sup>-Sets 000●00000 Shoenfield Absoluteness

Applications of Shoenfield Absoluteness

#### Proof, Step 1. (Cont.)

Fix some recursive enumeration Seq =  $\{u_n : n < \omega\}$  such that  $|u_n| \le n$  for all n. Given a function f with dom $(f) \subseteq \omega$ , we define f with dom $(f^*) \subseteq$  Seq by  $f^*(u_n) := f(n)$ . Using this enumeration we get that:

 $x \in A \iff \exists y \in \omega^{\omega} \exists h \in \omega_1^{\omega} \text{ s.t. } h^* {\upharpoonright} U(x, y) \text{ is order-preserving}$ 

 $\sum_{\substack{2\\0000 \bullet 0000}}^{1}$ 

Shoenfield Absoluteness

## Proof, Step 2.

We define a tree T' on  $\omega^2 \times \omega_1$  by "closing" the relation in the previous slide under initial segments. More precisely, stipulate that:

 $(s, t, h) \in T' \iff h^* {\upharpoonright} U_{s,t}$  is order-preserving

where:

$$U_{s,t} := \{ u \in \mathsf{Seq} : |u| \le |s| \land (s \upharpoonright |u|, t \upharpoonright |u|, u) \in U \}$$

It's easy to check that T' is a tree.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Trees (Again)} \\ \text{00000} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Sigma_2^1 \text{-Sets} \\ \text{000000} \end{array}$ 

Shoenfield Absoluteness

Applications of Shoenfield Absoluteness

# Proof, Step 2. (Cont.)

Now observe that given  $x, y \in \omega^{\omega}$ , we have:

000

$$U(x, y) = \{ u \in \mathsf{Seq} : (x \upharpoonright |u|, y \upharpoonright |u|, u) \in U \}$$
  
=  $\{ u \in \mathsf{Seq} : u \in U_{x \upharpoonright |u|, y \upharpoonright |u|} \}$   
=  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} \{ u \in \mathsf{Seq} : |u| \le n \land u \in U_{x \upharpoonright n, y \upharpoonright n} \}$   
=  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} U_{x \upharpoonright n, y \upharpoonright n}$ 

Therefore, given  $x, y \in \omega^{\omega}$  and  $h \in \omega_1^{\omega}$ , we have that:

$$h \in T'(x, y) \iff \forall n (x \upharpoonright n, y \upharpoonright n, h \upharpoonright n) \in T'$$
  
$$\iff \forall n (h \upharpoonright n)^* \upharpoonright U_{x \upharpoonright n, y \upharpoonright n} \text{ is order-preserving}$$
  
$$\iff h^* \upharpoonright U(x, y) \text{ is order-preserving}$$



 $\underset{00000000000}{\Sigma_2^1-Sets}$ 

Shoenfield Absoluteness

Applications of Shoenfield Absoluteness

# Proof, Step 2. (Cont.)

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A \iff \exists y \in \omega^{\omega} \exists h \in \omega_1^{\omega} \text{ s.t. } h^* \upharpoonright U(x, y) \text{ is order-preserving} \\ \iff \exists y \in \omega^{\omega} \exists h \in \omega_1^{\omega} h \in T'(x, y) \\ \iff \exists y \in \omega^{\omega} \exists h \in \omega_1^{\omega} \forall n (x \upharpoonright n, y \upharpoonright n, h \upharpoonright n) \in T' \end{aligned}$$

Hence this T' is the desired tree for step (2).

#### Proof, Step 3.

 $\Sigma_2^1$ -Sets

Trees (Again)

We first transform the tree T' (on  $\omega^2 \times \omega_1$ ) into a tree T'' on  $\omega \times (\omega \times \omega_1)$  by the map:

$$((s(0), \ldots, s(n-1)), (t(0), \ldots, t(n-1)), (h(0), \ldots, h(n-1))))$$
  
 $\downarrow$   
 $((s(0), \ldots, s(n-1)), ((t(0), h(0)), \ldots, (t(n-1), h(n-1))))$ 

Clearly this map is recursive. This gives us:

$$x \in A \iff \exists g \in (\omega \times \omega_1)^\omega \, \forall n \, (x \restriction n, g \restriction n) \in T''$$

Using a definable correspondence between  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega \times \omega_1$ , we get a tree T such that:

$$x \in A \iff \exists g \in \omega_1^\omega \, \forall n \, (x \restriction n, g \restriction n) \in T$$

so A = p[T]. Clearly T is constructible from a.



Σ<sub>2</sub><sup>1</sup>-Sets 00000000 Shoenfield Absoluteness

Applications of Shoenfield Absoluteness

We remark that if  $x \in A$ , so T(x) is ill-founded, then by reversing the proof we have an algorithm which obtains a real  $y \in \omega^{\omega}$ (dependent only on x and  $\omega_1$ ) such that U(x, y) is well-founded. This will be important in proving Shoenfield absoluteness theorem later.

Shoenfield Absoluteness

Applications of Shoenfield Absoluteness

# Shoenfield Absoluteness Theorem

#### Theorem

Trees (Again)

If P is a  $\Sigma_2^1(a)$  relation, then P is absolute for every inner models M of ZF + DC such that  $a \in M$ . In particular, P is absolute for L.

Trees (Again)

 $\Sigma_2^1$ -Sets

Shoenfield Absoluteness

One may think that we can mimic the proof of Mostowski absoluteness theorem to prove Shoenfield absoluteness theorem. However, this does not work.

Suppose *P* is  $\Sigma_1^1(a)$  and  $R \subseteq \text{Seq}_2$  is a recursive relation in which:

$$P(x) \iff \exists y \in \omega^{\omega} \,\forall n \, R(x \restriction n, y \restriction n)$$

We defined the tree  $T \subseteq Seq_2$  by:

$$T := \{(s,t) \in \mathsf{Seq}_2 : \forall n \le |s| \, R(s \restriction n, t \restriction n)\}$$

then showed that:

$$P(x) \iff T(x)$$
 is ill-founded



We proved Mostowski absoluteness theorem as follows:

- (1) If  $M \models P(x)$ , then  $M \models T(x)$  is ill-founded, so  $[T(x)] \neq \emptyset$ .
- (2) If  $M \models \neg P(x)$ , then  $M \models T(x)$  is well-founded, so there exists a rank function on T.

We implicitly used the fact that the tree T, constructed in V and in M, are the same.

Shoenfield Absoluteness

What about the tree T constructed such that P = p[T] when P is  $\Sigma_2^1(a)$ ?

We started with:

$$P(x) \iff \exists y \in \omega^{\omega} U(x, y) \text{ is well-founded}$$

where  $U \subseteq \text{Seq}_3$ , and constructed a tree T on  $\omega \times \omega_1$  such that from U. We immediately see that the tree T constructed in Mneed not be the same as that in V - for instance, we need not have  $\omega_1^M = \omega_1$ .

We thus have to work around this issue when proving Shoenfield absoluteness theorem.

#### Proof.

Suppose *P* is  $\Sigma_2^1(a)$ . As discussed before, there exists a tree  $U \subseteq \text{Seq}_3$ , recursive in *a*, such that:

 $P(x) \iff \exists y \ U(x,y)$  is well-founded

This U is independent of the choice of models, i.e. we also have that:

$$M \models P(x) \iff \exists y \in M M \models U(x, y)$$
 is well-founded

For any relation R on  $\omega^{<\omega}$ , the statement "R is well-founded" is  $\Pi_1^1$  (Exercise), so it is absolute by Mostowski absoluteness theorem. Therefore:

$$M \models P(x) \iff \exists y \in M \ U(x, y)$$
 is well-founded

This immediately proves that if  $M \models P(x)$ , then P(x) holds. It remains to show the converse.

Shoenfield Absoluteness

Applications of Shoenfield Absoluteness

### Proof (Cont.)

Suppose P(x) holds. Let T be the tree on  $\omega \times \omega_1$ , constructed from U in V, such that P = p[T]. Therefore:

T(x) is ill-founded

Since well-foundedness is absolute, we have that:

 $M \models T(x)$  is ill-founded

Despite the fact that  $T \in M$ , we need not have  $M \models P = p[T]$ . However, as remarked earlier, we can instead reverse the proof of that P is  $\omega_1$ -Suslin to obtain a  $y \in (\omega^{\omega})^M$  such that:

 $M \models U(x, y)$  is well-founded

Hence  $M \models P(x)$ .

DC is used here for the fact that "R is well-founded" is a  $\Pi_1^1$  statement. For more details, see Lemma 25.9 of Jech.

However, all trees involved can in fact be canonically well-ordered, as they are subsets of  $\omega^{<\omega}$ . Consequently, we do not require DC to choose an infinite branch when proving that "R is well-founded" is  $\Pi_1^1$ . Therefore, Shoenfield absoluteness theorem applies to models of ZF, and its inner models of ZF.



## A few concluding remarks:

- (1) Given  $x \subseteq \omega$ , we say that x is  $\Sigma_n^1(a)$  (resp.  $\Pi_n^1(a)$ ) if the set  $\{e_x\}$ , where  $e_x$  is the indicator function of the set x, is  $\Sigma_n^1(a)$  (resp.  $\Pi_n^1(a)$ ). Shoenfield absoluteness theorem implies that if x is  $\Sigma_2^1(a)$  or  $\Pi_2^1(a)$ , then  $x \in L[a]$ . In particular, every  $\Sigma_2^1/\Pi_2^1$  real is constructible.
- (2) There exists a model of set theory (without assuming large cardinals) in which there is a non-constructible  $\Delta_3^1$  real. Thus, Shoenfield absoluteness theorem is the best possible ZFC absoluteness theorem.



 $\sum_{\substack{2\\000000000}}^{1}$ 

Shoenfield Absoluteness

Applications of Shoenfield Absoluteness •••

The power of Shoenfield absoluteness lies in the following result.

**Corollary** If *P* is a  $\Sigma_2^1/\Pi_2^1$  statement, and ZFC  $\vdash$  *P*, then ZF  $\vdash$  *P*.

#### Proof.

Let *M* be a model of ZF. Then  $L^M$  is a model of ZFC. Since ZFC  $\vdash P$ ,  $L^M \models P$ . By Shoenfield absoluteness theorem,  $M \models P$ . Since this holds for any model of ZF, by Gödel's completeness theorem, ZF  $\vdash P$ .



Many statements in "ordinary mathematics" are "simple enough" to be of complexity  $\Sigma_2^1/\Pi_2^1$  or lower. Examples include:

- (1) Brouwer fixed point theorem.
- (2) Hanh-Banach theorem for separable spaces.
- (3) The existence of algebraic closures for countable fields.

See more examples here.